

associated with the end user should not be moved to the new Marketing Basket.⁴²

U S WEST determined that the end-user amount associated with the interexchange cost basket is \$6,000.⁴³ Further, U S WEST determined that \$23.9 million of the marketing expense was associated with retail special access.⁴⁴ Therefore, the total exogenous adjustment was \$88.1 million (i.e., \$112.4 million minus \$400,000 associated with payphones minus \$6,000 associated with interexchange retail customers minus \$23.9 million assumed to be associated with special access retail customers).

U S WEST, however, overlooked the fact that \$17.5 million of the original \$23.9 million was actually associated with special access services sold to carrier customers. The \$17.5 million was based on the allocation of interstate special access revenues between retail and carrier customers. In Workpaper F, U S WEST has directly removed all marketing expenses from the appropriate basket based on their Part 69 ARMIS assignment, with the exception of trunking and special access. The trunking and special access elements were aggregated, and the total of \$32 million was spread across all elements based on the distribution of trunking revenues attributable to carrier customers as of June 30, 1997. U S WEST is correcting its tariff to reflect the \$17.5 million adjustment associated with carrier customers and to assign this additional wholesale amount to the new marketing basket. Total expenses in the marketing basket will become \$105,637,413.

⁴² Access Reform Order, 7 Comm. Reg. (P&F) at 1293-294 ¶¶ 323-24.

⁴³ ARMIS 43-01, row 1140, column v.

⁴⁴ Id. at row 1140, column s.

C Allocation Of Cost Changes To The June 30, 1997 TIC

U S WEST agrees with the Commission's tentative conclusion that trunking cost changes should be reallocated based on the trunking revenues in baskets as they existed prior to July 1, 1997 (i.e., 1996 demand multiplied by the current rate). In fact U S WEST followed that methodology.

VII. TANDEM SWITCHED TRANSPORT: ACTUAL MINUTES OF USE ("MOU")

In the Access Reform Order, the Commission directed price cap LECs to begin calculating their Tandem Switched Transport ("TST") rates using the actual average MOU per trunk for that service.⁴⁵ Prior to the Access Reform Order, the Commission's rules required the LECs to assume 9,000 MOU per trunk. The Commission expected actual usage to run less than 9,000 MOU per trunk, so that the change would increase TST rates and reduce the TIC. In fact, many LECs (including U S WEST) found that their TST usage exceeds 9,000 MOU (U S WEST's usage averages 11,353 MOU), which reduced TST rates and, based on the workings of the Commission's rules, increased the TIC. In the face of complaints from AT&T and MCI, the Designation Order seeks comment on whether the Commission should allow the LECs who find themselves in this situation to increase their TIC.

In addition, the Designation Order tentatively concludes that the LECs are to recalculate their rates as of 1993 (when the Commission restructured local transport to create the TST and the TIC) using actual MOU and then determine what proportion of the original TIC was attributable to the assumed 9,000 MOU;

⁴⁵ Access Reform Order, 7 Comm. Reg. (P&F) at 1265 ¶ 206.

they must then reassign that portion of the TIC to TST.⁴⁶ The Designation Order seeks comment on that approach.

A. The Commission Must Allow The LECs To Increase Their TIC, If The Use Of Actual MOU Produces That Result

When the Commission ordered the LECs to use actual MOU to calculate their TST rates, it assumed this step would increase the TST rates and reduce the TIC. It did not, however, translate that assumption into a rule or any other directive dictating that the TIC must decrease in all circumstances. The Commission's rules prescribe how the LECs are to calculate the TIC, and those rules tie the level of the TIC to the level of the TST rates. Unless it changes its rules, the Commission cannot prohibit the LECs from increasing their TIC, if the existing rules (which require use of the LECs' actual MOU) produce that result.

Moreover, if the Commission were to prohibit the LECs from increasing their TICs, it must find some other means for the LECs to recover the amounts they have thereby lost. Absent that, the Commission would effectively disallow a portion of the LECs' revenue requirement with no findings (and no evidence) of unreasonableness.

B. The Methodology Proposed In The Designation Order To Recalculate TST And TIC Rates Is Consistent With The Access Reform Order

As noted, the Designation Order seeks comment on a methodology to recalculate TST rates using actual MOU. So long as the Commission applies that methodology equally to LECs whose actual usage exceeds 9,000 MOU, as well as to

⁴⁶ Designation Order ¶ 79.

those whose usage is below that figure, U S WEST believes the methodology adequately performs the necessary adjustment.⁴⁷

* * * * *

The Designation Order instructs the LECs to recalculate their TST and TIC rates as described in paragraph 79 of the Designation Order. Workpaper G provides that recalculation

C. TST Rates Include The Cost Of Multiplexers

The Designation Order rejects a contention by BellSouth that the re-initialization of TST rates included the cost of providing multiplexers, though it seeks comment on that issue.⁴⁸

As a matter of history, the Designation Order is mistaken. The provision of TST service requires the use of two multiplexers on the end-office side of the tandem switch, one at the tandem and one at the end office. Since 1993, when the Commission created the TST rate structure, price cap LECs have included the cost of one DS3-DS1 multiplexer in developing TST rates. Indeed, the Commission's Local Transport Restructure Order required the LECs to "include the multiplexing equipment needed to interconnect DS3 transmission facilities with the end office switch."⁴⁹ The LECs have recovered the cost of the second multiplexer in the TIC.

⁴⁷ U S WEST also agrees with the tentative conclusion in the Designation Order (§ 78) that price cap LECs should not recalculate their TST rates pursuant to Section 69.111(c) of the Commission's rules.

⁴⁸ Designation Order § 80.

⁴⁹ In the Matter of Transport Rate Structure and Pricing, Petition for Waiver of the Transport Rules filed by GTE Service Corporation, Report and Order and Further Notice of Proposed Rulemaking, 7 FCC Rcd. 7006, 7037 n.113 (1992).

The Access Reform Order required the LECs to establish a new rate element (Common Transport Multiplexing) to recover the cost of one DS3-DS1 multiplexer on the end-office side of the tandem switch.⁵⁰ Because the provision of TST requires another such multiplexer at the end office itself, the LECs reasonably assumed they were to continue to recover the cost of that second multiplexer in TST rates, as they always have. Thus, when it created the CT Multiplexer rate element, U S WEST removed the cost of a multiplexer from the TIC; the cost of the original multiplexer remains in its TST rates.

The summary rejection of this position in the Designation Order is thus puzzling, in that it ignores the prior treatment of multiplexers and gives no consideration to how the LECs should recover these costs. Nor can there be any substantial question that this second multiplexer is essential to the provision of TST service.

* * * * *

The Designation Order asks the LECs to demonstrate that the weighted average of DS1 and DS3 rates is affected by the multiplexers at the tandem switch.⁵¹ Workpaper H provides that information.

VIII. U S WEST'S ALLOCATION OF UNIVERSAL SERVICE FUND
CONTRIBUTIONS ACCURATELY REFLECTS INTERSTATE
END-USER REVENUES

In the Designation Order, the Commission requires each LEC to explain why its methodology for allocating universal service fund ("USF") contributions

⁵⁰ Access Reform Order, 7 Comm. Reg. (P&F) at 1257 ¶ 172.

accurately reflects the distribution of interstate end-user revenues across baskets.⁵²

U S WEST calculated factors to allocate the USF contributions to the appropriate baskets on the basis of relative size of end-user revenues in each basket. U S WEST allocated USF contributions in the trunking basket and calculated the increase in the Service Band Index ("SBI") for the affected categories in the trunking basket based on the relative end-user interstate revenues in each service category.

U S WEST did not rely on the end-user revenues reported in its Form 457 to determine price cap basket allocation factors. U S WEST's initial Form 457, used by the Commission in its calculation of USF factors, was a preliminary view that will be trued-up and revised in the upcoming March 31, 1998 submission of annual data. In addition, on a going-forward basis, the Form 457 does not have the level of detail necessary to appropriately allocate USF within the trunking basket. Thus, U S WEST believed it was more appropriate to develop an alternative methodology.

⁵¹ Designation Order ¶ 80.

⁵² Id. ¶ 95.


IX. CONCLUSION

For these reasons, the Commission should allow U S WEST's Access Reform
Tariff Filing to take effect.

Respectfully submitted,

U S WEST, INC.

By:


Richard A. Karre
Jeffry A. Brueggeman
Suite 700
1020 19th Street, N.W.
Washington, DC 20036
(303) 793-6352

Its Attorneys

Of Counsel,
Dan L. Poole

February 27, 1998

BASELINE - 1996 ACCESS LINES

[illegible]

TEST LINES - 1997-1998 ACCESS LINES

	PRIMARY RESIDENCE	NON PRIMARY RESIDENCE	TOTAL RESIDENCE	SLB	MLB	BRI-ISDN	PRI-ISDN	CENTREX	TOTAL MLB	LIFELINE	SURCHG
ARIZONA	1,607,457	245,934	1,853,391	51,851	410,673	3,535	1,340	186,446	601,994	10,867	799
COLORADO	1,425,587	266,926	1,692,513	57,712	542,997	8,659	1,330	116,948	669,934	18,067	741
IDAHO	297,163	41,482	338,645	10,227	100,647	1,601	110	16,285	118,643	4,625	120
MONTANA	234,385	21,357	255,742	7,629	82,169	125	20	6,147	88,461	5,958	30
NEW MEXICO	513,710	56,284	569,994	16,087	160,478	3,150	190	22,817	186,635	27,825	128
UTAH	627,901	113,951	741,852	26,422	243,235	2,690	695	60,142	306,762	23,808	245
WYOMING	142,528	14,349	156,877	6,022	60,666	100	35	9,046	69,847	825	69
IOWA	701,100	62,112	763,212	22,514	88,729	493	355	171,670	261,247	0	176
MINNESOTA	1,264,516	169,905	1,434,421	53,755	385,367	26,514	1,110	210,929	623,920	34,458	354
NEBRASKA	328,925	40,319	369,244	12,102	103,340	7,700	225	29,188	140,453	0	52
NORTH DAKOTA	166,877	12,164	179,041	5,230	44,992	210	185	15,361	60,748	7,275	64
SOUTH DAKOTA	175,380	11,608	186,988	6,644	56,858	270	15	19,902	77,045	2,717	15
IDAHO PNB	24,208	1,282	25,490	770	8,785	121	0	19	8,925	511	1
OREGON	801,670	112,532	914,202	31,087	242,817	691	930	116,550	360,988	26,525	950
WASHINGTON	1,436,785	247,377	1,684,162	49,356	410,018	7,007	1,025	154,815	572,865	69,442	1,093
	9,748,192	1,417,582	11,165,774	357,408	2,941,771	62,866	7,565	1,136,265	4,148,467	232,903	4,837

TOTAL LINES W/O SURCH 15,904,552

Appendix B

I. Line Count Data Formation					II. Line Count Data Identification			
	Sources	Search	<u>Data</u> Collection	Time Period	First	Second	<u>Criteria</u> Third	Fourth
Primary Residential Lines	D3	S1	C2	T2 1/96-12/96	L2	R4		
Single Line Business	D3	S1	C2	T2 1/96-12/96	N5	L2	B1	
Non-Primary Residential Lin	D3	S1	C2	T1 12/31/96	L2	A0		
BRI-ISDN Lines	D3	S1	C2	T1 12/31/96	N5			

Single Line Business lines are identified by a unique USOC per a location and then by customer name to exclude multi-location customers that are classified as multi-line business.
Non-Primary Residential Lines are identified per residence location with a field indicator that identifies line type.

EXOGENOUS ADJUSTMENTS SINCE BEGINNING OF PRICE CAPS

Workpaper B
Page 1 of 4

Exogenous Adjustment	Date Transmittal No.	Purpose	Method of Calculation
OB&C	1-1-98 TN #884, 885, 886, 887, 890	Redo reallocation of OB&C expenses between price cap rate elements and nonregulated billing and collection rates	Revenue Requirement
Marketing Expense	1-1-98 TN #884, 885, 886, 887, 890	Reallocates marketing expenses to baskets and categories with rate elements purchased by and marketed to end users.	Revenue Requirement
Line Ports	1-1-98 TN #884, 885, 886, 887, 890	Move line port costs from local switching to common line rate elements.	Revenue Requirement
End Office Trunk Ports and Multiplexers	1-1-98 TN #884, 885, 886, 887, 890	Move recovery of the costs of end office trunk ports and multiplexers from local switching to a new Local Switching Trunk Ports Category in Traffic Sensitive basket	Revenue Requirement
STP Port Terminations	1-1-98 TN #884, 885, 886, 887, 890	Moved to new STP Port Termination category in Traffic Sensitive basket from High Cap & DDS category of Trunking basket.	Revenues because it is an existing rate element with specific revenues associated.

EXOGENOUS ADJUSTMENTS SINCE BEGINNING OF PRICE CAPS

Workpaper B
Page 2 of 4

Exogenous Adjustment	Date Transmittal No.	Purpose	Method of Calculation
SS7 costs recovered in the TIC	1-1-98 TN #884, 885, 886, 887, 890	Move to Local Switching category of the Traffic Sensitive basket.	Revenue Requirement
COE Maintenance Expense	1-1-98 TN #884, 885, 886, 887, 890	Reallocates recovery of costs based on specific type of COE investment being maintained; from Common Line & Trunking baskets to Traffic Sensitive basket.	Revenue Requirement
DEM Weighting	1-1-98 TN #884, 885, 886, 887, 890	Moves recovery from Traffic Sensitive and Common Line baskets to non price cap high cost support mechanisms (USF).	Revenue Requirement
General Support Facilities	1-1-98 TN #884, 885, 886, 887, 890	Moves GSF costs related to nonregulated billing and collection services out of regulated access rates.	Revenue Requirements
Tandem Shared Multiplexers	1-1-98 TN #884, 885, 886, 887, 890	Moves recovery from TIC to new rate elements in the Tandem Switched Transport category.	Used existing rate elements, calculated surrogate Revenue Requirement.
Dedicated Tandem Trunk Ports	1-1-98 TN #884, 885, 886, 887, 890	Moves recovery from TIC and Tandem Switching to new rate elements in the Tandem Switched Transport category.	Revenue Requirement

EXOGENOUS ADJUSTMENTS SINCE BEGINNING OF PRICE CAPS

Workpaper B
Page 3 of 4

Exogenous Adjustment	Date Transmittal No.	Purpose	Method of Calculation
Tandem Switching Revenues in TIC	1-1-98 TN #884, 885, 886, 887, 890	Moves recovery from TIC to Tandem Switching.	Revenue Requirement
Effect of Actual MOU/Trunk on Tandem Transmission Revenue	1-1-98 TN #884, 885, 886, 887, 890	Moves recovery from Tandem Switched Transport to TIC.	Revenues
Host/Remote Links	1-1-98 TN #884, 885, 886, 887, 890	Moves recovery from TIC to Tandem Switched Transport.	Revenue Requirement
Effect of Deaveraged Transport Rates	1-1-98 TN #884, 885, 886, 887, 890	Moves recovery from TIC to appropriate Trunking basket subcategory zones.	Revenues
Universal Service Fund	1-1-98 TN #884, 885, 886, 887, 890	Moved from LTS recovery in Common Line Basket to USF recovery in Common Line, Trunking & Interexchange Baskets	Revenues
LIDB	7-1-97 TN #847	Moved LIDB query revenues from High Cap/DDS category of Trunking basket to Database category of Traffic Sensitive Basket	Revenues
OB&C	7-1-97 TN #847	Reallocate OB&C expenses between price cap rate elements and nonregulated billing and collection rates	Revenue Requirement

EXOGENOUS ADJUSTMENTS SINCE BEGINNING OF PRICE CAPS

Workpaper B
Page 4 of 4

Exogenous Adjustment	Date Transmittal No.	Purpose	Method of Calculation
Regulated to Non-Regulated	In Annual Filing since 1996	Reallocation of investment from regulated to non-regulated use based on forecasted regulated and non-regulated usage	Revenue Requirement
Pay Telephone Set Deregulation	4-15-97 TN #823	Moved recovery of pay telephone sets from regulated to non-regulated recovery	Revenues
800 Database	11-26-96 Letter 5-1-93 TN #335	Addition of Costs to Price Cap Recovery; moved recovery from Local Switching category to new Database category	Revenue Requirement
Inmate Pay Telephone	10-16-96 TN #775	Moved recovery of inmate pay telephone CPE from regulated to non-regulated recovery	Revenue Requirement reduced for the reduction in PCI since Price Cap inception
General Support Facilities Reallocation	7-2-93 TN #369	Reallocated GSF costs from Traffic Sensitive, Special Access & Interexchange Baskets to Common Line Basket	Revenue Requirement

Cost Reallocations Based on Revenues

	Line Port Actual	Revenue	Trunk Port Actual	Revenue	Analog MUX Actual	Revenue	Tandem Trunk Port	Revenue	COE Maint.	Revenue
Common Line	111,443,000	145,001,003							(3,646,000)	(2,886,988)
Traffic Sensitive	(111,443,000)	(145,001,003)	29,117,000	37,884,786	14,468,000	13,325,545			15,158,000	20,099,201
Trunking					(14,468,000)	(13,325,545)	13,078,400	12,045,674	(11,514,000)	(10,604,805)
Interexchange									1	1

	SS7 Actual	Revenue	Host Remote Actual	Revenue	Marketing Actual	Revenue	Shared Mux & TST Actual	Revenue	Other GSF Actual	Revenue
Common Line					(58,361,226)	(46,211,784)			(12,656,688)	(10,021,862)
Traffic Sensitive	5,553,656	5,115,116			(15,009,111)	(19,901,777)			(3,110,052)	(4,123,866)
Trunking	(5,553,656)	(5,115,116)	(14,721,000)	(13,558,567)	(32,267,076)	(29,719,130)	17,312,776	15,945,685	(8,586,360)	(7,908,344)
Interexchange	-	-			0	-			(1,080)	(1,425)

Revenue versus Revenue Requirement

	Revenue (1)	Revenue Requirement	
Common Line	991,058,512	1,251,615,596	0.791823
Traffic Sensitive	459,473,114	346,515,942	1.325980
Trunking	771,875,701	838,051,846	0.921036
Interexchange	44,019,602	33,356,923	1.319654
Total	2,266,426,929	2,469,540,308	0.917753
Memo Switching	416,730,220	320,285,135	1.301123

Note 1: Revenue based on SUM-1, Erratum to 1997 Annual Access Compliance Filing, Base Period Demand x Proposed Rates

Note 2: Revenue Requirement based on 1996 ARMIS 43-01

Total Excluding Intrabasket Changes, Marketing and Maintenance Expense

	Actual	Revenue	Net
Common Line	98,786,312	134,979,142	36,192,830
Traffic Sensitive	(94,531,396)	(130,684,209)	(36,152,813)
Trunking	(28,608,016)	(26,349,005)	2,259,011
Interexchange	(1,080)	(1,425)	(345)

Calculation of SS7-STP Costs Added to TIC (Para. 61)

1 1996 STP Investment Includes Contracts and CCSAC	Access Reform WP 12, pg. 17	52,395,594
2 Interstate Revenue Requirement	Access Reform WP 12, pg. 16	6,741,746
3 % Interstate Revenue Requirement of Total	L 2 / L 1	0.12867009
4 STP Investment Prior to January 1994 at Initialization of TIC	1992 Estimated Investment	39,748,251
5 % Interstate Revenue Requirement	L 3 x L 4	5,114,411
6 Portion of Revenue Requirement in Original TIC	L 5 x 80%	4,091,529
7 Additions to TIC since inception--January 94 to Present**	L 2 x 80% less L 6	1,301,868

1997 Additions are less than \$2,000

**1992 Estimated
SS7 - STP Investment**

Signal Transfer Point

				Col. A	Col. B STP Investment	Col. C Indirect Loading Workpaper 11, Page 18 & 19, Line 27 Dec. '97 Filing	Col. D = Col. B x Col C
Line 1	<u>AZ</u>	TCSNAZMA00W		28	693,010	1.106892	767,087
Line 2	<u>CO</u>	DNVRCOMA19W	Line 2 Col. B x Line 43 Col. C	99	2,450,285		
Line 3		CLSPCOMA00W	Line 3 Col. B x Line 43 Col. C	32	792,011		
Line 4		Total			3,242,296	1.277807	4,143,029
Line 5	<u>ID S</u>	BOISIDMA00W	Line 5 Col. B x Line 43 Col. C	26	643,509	1.085011	698,215
Line 6	<u>MT</u>	HLNAMTMA00W	Line 6 Col. B x Line 42 Col. C	27	691,556		
Line 7		MSSLMTMA00W	Line 7 Col. B x Line 42 Col. C	27	691,556		
Line 8		Total			1,383,113	1.084910	1,500,553
Line 9	<u>NM</u>	ALBQNMMA00W	Line 9 Col. B x Line 43 Col. C	37	915,763	1.182450	1,082,844
Line 10	<u>UT</u>	PROVUTMA00W	Line 10 Col. B x Line 43 Col. C	46	1,138,516	1.240043	1,411,809
Line 11	<u>WY</u>	CHYNWYMA00W	Line 11 Col. B x Line 42 Col. C	24	614,717		
Line 12		CSPRWYMA00W	Line 12 Col. B x Line 42 Col. C	24	614,717		
Line 13		Total			1,229,433	1.122331	1,379,831
Line 14	<u>IA</u>	DESMIADT00W	Line 14 Col. B x Line 43 Col. C	39	965,264		
Line 15		MSCYIATC00W	Line 15 Col. B x Line 43 Col. C	39	965,264		
Line 16		CDRRIADT00W	Line 16 Col. B x Line 42 Col. C	25	640,330		
Line 17		DVNPIADT00W	Line 17 Col. B x Line 42 Col. C	24	614,717		
Line 18		DVNPIAEA00W	Line 18 Col. B x Line 42 Col. C	24	614,717		
Line 19		SPNCIADT00W	Line 19 Col. B x Line 42 Col. C	29	742,783		
Line 20		SXCYIADT00W	Line 20 Col. B x Line 42 Col. C	29	742,783		
Line 21		Total			5,285,856	1.143737	6,045,628
Line 22	<u>MN</u>	DLTHMNME00W	Line 22 Col. B x Line 41 Col. C	24	834,624		
Line 23		OWTNMNOW00W	Line 23 Col. B x Line 42 Col. C	25	640,330		
Line 24		WNDMMNW100W	Line 24 Col. B x Line 42 Col. C	25	640,330		
Line 25		Total			2,115,284	1.155213	2,443,604
Line 26	<u>NE</u>	OMAHNENW20W	Line 26 Col. B x Line 43 Col. C	108	2,673,038	1.393276	3,724,280
Line 27	<u>ND</u>	FARGNDBC00W	Line 27 Col. B x Line 43 Col. C	35	866,262		
Line 28		GDFRNDBC00W	Line 28 Col. B x Line 43 Col. C	35	866,262		
Line 29		Total			1,732,525	1.112137	1,926,805
Line 30	<u>SD</u>	RPCYSDCO00W	Line 30 Col. B x Line 42 Col. C	22	563,490		
Line 31		SXFLSDCO00W	Line 31 Col. B x Line 42 Col. C	22	563,490		
Line 32		Total			1,126,981	1.141534	1,286,487
Line 33	<u>OR</u>	PTLDOR1303W	Line 33 Col. B x Line 43 Col. C	70	1,732,525		
Line 34		EUGNOR5300W	Line 34 Col. B x Line 43 Col. C	42	1,039,515		
Line 35		Total			2,772,040	1.131502	3,136,569
Line 36	<u>WA</u>	SPKNWA0100W	Line 36 Col. B x Line 43 Col. C	45	1,113,766		
Line 37		STTLWA0301W	Line 37 Col. B x Line 43 Col. C	156	3,861,055		
Line 38		STTLWA0608W	Line 38 Col. B x Line 43 Col. C	157	3,885,806		
Line 39		Total			8,860,627	1.151331	10,201,511
Line 40		Total		1345	33,811,991		39,748,251
1997 Additions				2	0.001486989		

Installed Investment

		Col. A	Col. B	Col. C=Col. B/Col. A Investment Per Unit
Line 41	24 Port Unit	Ports 24	Cost 834,624	34,776
Line 42	36 Port Unit	36	922,075	25,613
Line 43	48 Port Unit	48	1,188,017	24,750

Justification and Calculation of COE Maintenance Expense Adjustment

Source/Calculation			Interstate	Common Line	Switching	Transport	Information	Special	IX
			a	b	c	d	e	f	g
1 Category 1	Account 2220 Operator	ARMIS 43-04, Row 1170	7,065	-	355	27	6,365		318
2 Orig. Dist.	b=Line 1b/1a, c=1c/1a, etc.			0	0.050248	0.003822	0.90092	0	0.045011
3 Category 2	Account 2210 Tandem	ARMIS 43-04, Row 1204	221,475	-	-	221,475	-		-
4 Category 3	Account 2210 Switching	ARMIS 43-04, Row 1219	913,655	-	913,655	-	-		-
5 Orig. Dist.	Total Line 3 + Line 4		1,135,130	-	913,655	221,475	-		-
6 Total	Line b=5b/5a, c=5c/5a, etc.				0.80489	0.19511			
7 Category 4	Account 2230 COE Circuit.	ARMIS 43-04, Row 1400	2,243,037	940,088	-	505,849	-	797,102	-
8 Orig. Dist.	Line b= 7b/7a, c=7c/7a, etc.			0.419114	0	0.22552	0	0.355367	0
9 1996 Total Maintenance Expense		ARMIS 43-04, Row 5026	100,028	27,876	27,002	21,414	196	23,531	10

Maintenance Expense 1998 Rules

			Distribution						
10	Account 6210 COE Switching	ARMIS 43-03, Col. I	219,967	0.635833	63,601				
11	Account 6220 Operator	ARMIS 43-03, Col. I	827	0.002391	239				
12	Account 6230 COE Circuit	ARMIS 43-03, Col. I	125,157	0.361777	36,188				
	Subtotal		345,951	1	100,028				
13	Account 6210 COE Switching	Col. c=L 6 x L10, Col. a			-	51,192	12,409	-	-
14	Account 6220 Operator	Col. c=L 2 x L11, Col. a			-	12	1	215	-
15	Account 6230 COE Circuit	Col. c=L 8 x L12, Col. a			15,167	-	8,161	-	12,860
16	Maint. Exp. Per Revised Part 69 Rules	L 13 + L14 + L15			15,167	51,204	20,571	215	12,860
17	Diff. Between Original and Revised Allocation	L 16 - L 9			(12,709)	24,202	(843)	19	(10,671)
18	Reallocation of Switching Maintenance (.374486 of Switching Maintenance To Common Line)				9,063	(9,063)			
19	Total Exogenous	L 17 + L 18			(3,646)	15,139	(843)	19	(10,671)

20 Exogenous At Basket Level Based on ARMIS for 1996	Common Line	Traffic Sensitive	Trunking	Interexchange
	(3,646)	15,158	(11,514)	1

21 Distribution to Elements	Revised	Original Filing	Exogenous Change
Common Line	(3,646)	(3,527)	(119)
Switching	15,139	14,879	
Information	19	-	
Total Traffic Sensitive	15,158	14,879	279
Trunking	(11,514)	(11,684)	
6/30/97 Rate Factor			
Interconnection	\$506,396,252 0.530640	(6,110)	(11,684)
Tandem Switched	\$52,208,238 0.054708	(630)	
Voice Grade	\$39,160,603 0.041035	(472)	
Audio/Video	\$2,422,612 0.002539	(29)	
High Cap & DDS	\$354,124,104 0.371078	(4,273)	
Wideband	\$0 0.000000	(0)	
Signalling Interconnection	\$0 0.000000		
Total Trunking	\$954,311,809 1.000000	(11,514)	(11,684)
Interexchange		1	332
			(331)

		Column A	Interstate Marketing			
	Source		Column B			
1 Common Line	1996 ARMIS 43-01, Line 1140, Column M		(58,801,226)			
2 Pay Telephone Marketing	Pay Telephone Filing		(440,000)			
3 Common Line Exc. Pay Tel.	L 1 - L 2		(58,361,226)			
4 Traffic Sensitive	ARMIS 43-01, Line 1140, Col. R - Col. P		(15,009,111)			
5 Special Access	ARMIS 43-01, Line 1140, Col. S	23,856,053				
6 Percent Wholesale	Note 1	0.735203				
7 Special Access	L 5 x L 6		(17,539,047)			
8 Trunking	ARMIS 43-01, Line 1140, Col. P		(14,728,029)			
9 Total Marketing	L 3 thru L 8		(105,637,413)			
		Revenue Trunking A	% Carrier Revenues B	Total Carrier Revenues C = A * B	Allocation Factor Based on Carrier Revenues D=C/C L.16	Trunking Basket Marketing Allocation E = D * (7B+8B)
10 Interconnection	SUM 1, Col. B, L 171 (Note 2)	506,396,252	1.000000	506,396,252	0.601435	(19,406,548)
11 Tandem Switched	Sum 1, Col. B, L 175	52,208,238	1.000000	52,208,238	0.062007	(2,000,769)
12 VG	RTE 1page 8, L. 1980	39,160,603	0.658503	25,787,356	0.030627	(988,245)
13 A/V	RTE 1page 9, L. 2180	2,422,612	0.093300	226,029	0.000268	(8,662)
14 HI CAP/DDS	RTE 1, page 17, L. 4380	100,101,722	0.747128	74,788,818	0.088825	(2,866,121)
15 DS1	RTE 1 page 13, L. 3180	172,126,964	0.723419	124,519,885	0.147889	(4,771,957)
DS3	RTE 1 page 17, L. 4280	81,895,418	0.708873	58,053,455	0.068949	(2,224,774)
16 Total Trunking	RTE 1 page 19, L. 5000	954,311,809		841,980,033	1.000000	(32,267,076)
23 Total Exogenous Trunking Basket	L 7B + L 8B					(32,267,076)
24 Original Exogenous Trunking	L 8B					(14,728,029)
25 Additional Exog. Decrease to Trunking	L 23 - L 24					(17,539,047)
26 Additional Exog. Increase to Marketing	L 25 *(-1)					17,539,047

Note Interstate Wholesale Special Revenues / Total Interstate Special Revenues

Note 2: Transmittal 854, Base Period Demand x Current Rates--Before TIC targeted reduction

**** TANDEM TRANSMISSION RATES - MODIFIED METHOD ******COMPARISON OF TANDEM TRANSMISSION RATES DEVELOPED UNDER ORIGINAL AND MODIFIED METHOD**

	Current Revenue Per 7/3/97 Rates	Original Method	Modified Method	Effect of Re- initialization Based on Original Method	Effect of Re- initialization Based on Modified Method
	Access Reform Tariff Filing, Workpaper Rate 7, Pg. 1, Col. (D)	Access Reform Tariff Filing, Workpaper Rate 7, Pg. 1, Col. (E)	Exhibit A, Page 2, Col. (D)		
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)=B-A	(E)=C-A
Tandem Transmission Rev. - Fixed & Mileage	\$32,141,881	\$14,274,293	\$25,759,715	(\$17,867,588)	(\$6,382,166)

Tandem Transmission MOU Rates Excluding the Effect of Host & Remote Cost Transfer	Access Reform Tariff Filing, Workpaper Rate 7, Pg. 1, Col. (A)	Access Reform Tariff Filing, Workpaper Rate 7, Pg. 1, Col. (B)	Exhibit A, Page 2, Col. (E)
Fixed			
Miles Over 0-8	\$0.000431	\$0.000100	\$0.000345
Miles Over 8-25	\$0.000480	\$0.000112	\$0.000385
Miles Over 25-50	\$0.000490	\$0.000121	\$0.000393
Miles Over 50	\$0.000551	\$0.000132	\$0.000442
Per Minute Per Mile			
Miles Over 0-8	\$0.000020	\$0.000010	\$0.000016
Miles Over 8-25	\$0.000021	\$0.000010	\$0.000017
Miles Over 25-50	\$0.000021	\$0.000011	\$0.000017
Miles Over 50	\$0.000022	\$0.000012	\$0.000018

**** TANDEM TRANSMISSION RATES - MODIFIED METHOD ******REVISED TANDEM TRANSMISSION RATES EXCLUDING H&R COST TRANSFER FROM THE TIC**

	Tandem Transmission Rates Effective 7/3/97	1996 Base Year Tandem Transmission MOU (Including H&R MOU)	Tandem Transmission Rev @ Current Rates	Tandem Transmission Rev. Net of Over-allocation (Note 1)	Revised Tandem Transmission Rates Excluding the Impact of H&R	Over- allocation Inherent in Current Tandem Transmission
	(A)	(B)	(C)=A*B	(D)=C*RAF	(E)=D/B	(F)=D-C
<u>Fixed</u>						
Miles Over 0-8	\$0.000431	3,747,994,740	\$1,615,386	\$1,294,631	\$0.000345	
Miles Over 8-25	\$0.000480	6,060,692,237	\$2,909,132	\$2,331,488	\$0.000385	
Miles Over 25-50	\$0.000490	3,457,165,472	\$1,694,011	\$1,357,644	\$0.000393	
Miles Over 50	\$0.000551	6,715,053,892	\$3,699,995	\$2,965,316	\$0.000442	
Total Fixed		19,980,906,341	\$9,918,524	\$7,949,079		
<u>Per Minute Per Mile</u>						
Miles Over 0-8	\$0.000020	19,050,524,158	\$381,010	\$305,356	\$0.000016	
Miles Over 8-25	\$0.000021	90,480,423,522	\$1,900,089	\$1,522,803	\$0.000017	
Miles Over 25-50	\$0.000021	125,208,508,235	\$2,629,379	\$2,107,283	\$0.000017	
Miles Over 50	\$0.000022	786,949,045,760	\$17,312,879	\$13,875,194	\$0.000018	
Total Per Mile		1,021,688,501,675	\$22,223,357	\$17,810,636		
Total Fixed & Mileage			\$32,141,881	\$25,759,715		(\$6,382,166)

NOTE 1:

1. % Over-allocation to Tandem Transmission
2. Revenue Adj. Factor (RAF)

Source

Exhibit A, Pg. 3, Column G
1.0 - Line 1

-19.86%
80.14%

****TANDEM TRANSMISSION RATES- MODIFIED METHOD ******% OVER-ALLOCATION OF TIC TO 1993 INITIAL LTR TANDEM TRANSMISSION REVENUES
BASED ON 9,000 MINUTES**

	1993 LTR Tandem Transmission MOU (LTR Filing Workpaper 8, Pg. 2)	Initial LTR Tandem Transmission Rates @9,000 MOU Per Trk. (Exhibit A, Pg. 6, Column (E))	Revised LTR Tandem Transmission Rates @ 11,353 MOU Per Trk. (Exhibit A, Pg. 5, Column (E))	Original LTR Tandem Transmission Rev.	Re-computed LTR Tandem Transmission Rev.	Over- allocation to Original Tandem Transmission Rev.	% Over- allocation
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)=A*B	(E)=A*C	(F)=E-D	(G)=F/D
<u>Per Facility</u>							
Over 0-8 Miles	2,202,834,856	\$0.000250	\$0.000198	\$550,709	\$436,161	(\$114,548)	
Over 8-25 Miles	2,375,661,168	\$0.000278	\$0.000220	\$660,434	\$522,645	(\$137,789)	
Over 25-50 Miles	1,755,391,536	\$0.000284	\$0.000225	\$498,531	\$394,963	(\$103,568)	
Over 50 Miles	4,359,907,070	\$0.000320	\$0.000254	\$1,395,170	\$1,107,416	(\$287,754)	
MOU Total				\$3,104,844	\$2,461,185	(\$643,659)	
<u>Per Mile Per Facility</u>							
Over 0-8 Miles	11,084,462,025	\$0.000027	\$0.000021	\$299,280	\$232,774	(\$66,506)	
Over 8-25 Miles	35,597,887,215	\$0.000028	\$0.000022	\$996,741	\$783,154	(\$213,587)	
Over 25-50 Miles	67,278,211,455	\$0.000028	\$0.000022	\$1,883,790	\$1,480,121	(\$403,669)	
Over 50 Miles	511,644,528,038	\$0.000031	\$0.000025	\$15,860,980	\$12,791,113	(\$3,069,867)	
Mileage Total				\$19,040,791	\$15,287,162	(\$3,753,629)	
Total Fixed and Mileage Rev.				\$22,145,635	\$17,748,347	(\$4,397,288)	-19.86%

**** TANDEM TRANSMISSION RATES - MODIFIED METHOD ******Revision to the LTR Initial Tandem Transmission Rates Based on
Actual MOU of 11,353 Per Trunk**

	LTR Initial DS3 DTT Monthly Rates (A)	LTR Initial DS1 DTT Monthly Rates (B)	DS3 MOU Rate Weighted by Fiber % (C) Note (2)	DS1 MOU Rate Weighted by Copper % (D) Note (3)	Weighted DS3 & DS1 Rates Per Access MOU (E)=C+D
<u>Per Facility</u>					
Over 0-8 Miles	\$862.70	\$87.22	\$0.000120	\$0.000078	\$0.000198
Over 8-25 Miles	\$862.70	\$112.21	\$0.000120	\$0.000100	\$0.000220
Over 25-50 Miles	\$862.70	\$117.60	\$0.000120	\$0.000105	\$0.000225
Over 50 Miles	\$970.54	\$137.20	\$0.000131	\$0.000123	\$0.000254
<u>Per Mile Per Facility</u>					
Over 0-8 Miles	\$92.74	\$13.43	\$0.000009	\$0.000012	\$0.000021
Over 8-25 Miles	\$92.74	\$14.31	\$0.000009	\$0.000013	\$0.000022
Over 25-50 Miles	\$94.90	\$14.31	\$0.000009	\$0.000013	\$0.000022
Over 50 Miles	\$107.84	\$15.13	\$0.000011	\$0.000014	\$0.000025
DS3/DS1 Multiplexer	\$350.00				

	<u>MOU per DS3</u>	<u>MOU per DS1</u>
Actual MOU Per VG Trunk	11,353	11,353
Equivalent VG Trunks Per Facility	672	24
Total MOU Per Month Per Facility	7,629,216	272,472

	<u>DS3 & DS1 Weighting % Based on Fiber & Copper Links</u>	
	<u>Fiber</u>	<u>Copper</u>
ARMIS Report #4307	Line 0363	Line 0361
Digital Carrier Links	305,459	98,211
Proportion of Total	75.67%	24.33%

Note (1): Re-using the equation and input values (except for 9,000 minutes) of Workpaper 4, LTR D&J, 1993.

Note (2): $[(\text{DS3 Wtgd. Monthly Rate} + \text{DS3/DS1 Mux Rate}) \times \text{Fiber \%}] / \text{MOU per DS3}$

Note (3): $(\text{DS1 Monthly Rate} \times \text{Copper \%}) / \text{MOU per DS1}$

**** TANDEM TRANSMISSION RATES - MODIFIED METHOD ******Local Transport Restructure Initial Tandem Transmission Rates Based on Assumed 9,000 MOU Per Trunk**

	LTR Initial DS3 DTT Monthly Rates (A)	LTR Initial DS1 DTT Monthly Rates (B)	DS3 MOU Rate Weighted by Fiber % (C) Note (2)	DS1 MOU Rate Weighted by Copper % (D) Note (3)	Weighted DS3 & DS1 Rates Per Access MOU (E)=C+D
<u>Per Facility</u>					
Over 0-8 Miles	\$862.70	\$87.22	\$0.000152	\$0.000098	\$0.000250
Over 8-25 Miles	\$862.70	\$112.21	\$0.000152	\$0.000126	\$0.000278
Over 25-50 Miles	\$862.70	\$117.60	\$0.000152	\$0.000132	\$0.000284
Over 50 Miles	\$970.54	\$137.20	\$0.000165	\$0.000155	\$0.000320
<u>Per Mile Per Facility</u>					
Over 0-8 Miles	\$92.74	\$13.43	\$0.000012	\$0.000015	\$0.000027
Over 8-25 Miles	\$92.74	\$14.31	\$0.000012	\$0.000016	\$0.000028
Over 25-50 Miles	\$94.90	\$14.31	\$0.000012	\$0.000016	\$0.000028
Over 50 Miles	\$107.84	\$15.13	\$0.000013	\$0.000017	\$0.000030
DS3/DS1 Multiplexer	\$350.00				

	<u>MOU per DS3</u>	<u>MOU per DS1</u>
Actual MOU Per VG Trunk	9,000	9,000
Equivalent VG Trunks Per Facility	672	24
Total MOU Per Month Per Facility	6,048,000	216,000

	<u>DS3 & DS1 Weighting % Based on Fiber & Copper Links</u>	
	<u>Fiber</u>	<u>Copper</u>
ARMIS Report #4307	Line 0363	Line 0361
Digital Carrier Links	305,459	98,211
Proportion of Total	75.67%	24.33%

Note (1): LTR Filing, Workpaper 4, LTR D&J, 1993.

Note (2): [(DS3 Wtgd. Monthly Rate+ DS3/DS1 Mux Rate) x Fiber %] /MOU per DS3

Note (3): (DS1 Monthly Rate x Copper %) /MOU per DS1

**** Effect of DS3/DS1 Multiplexer on Tandem Transmission Rates******Local Transport Restructure Initial Tandem Transmission Rates Based on
Assumed 9,000 MOU Per Trunk - Note (1)**

	LTR Initial DS3 DTT Monthly Rates (A)	LTR Initial DS1 DTT Monthly Rates (B)	DS3 MOU Rate Weighted by Fiber % <i>(Including DS3/DS1 Mux.)</i> (C) Note (2)	DS3 MOU Rate Weighted by Fiber % <i>(Excluding DS3/DS1 Mux.)</i> (D) Note (3)	DS1 MOU Rate Weighted by Copper % (E) Note (4)	Weighted DS3 & DS1 Rates Per Access MOU <i>(Including DS3/DS1 Mux.)</i> (F)=C+E	Weighted DS3 & DS1 Rates Per Access MOU <i>(Excluding DS3/DS1 Mux.)</i> (G)=D+E	% Difference Due to Multiplexer (H)=G/F-1
Per Facility								
Over 0-8 Miles	\$862.70	\$87.22	\$0.000152	\$0.000108	\$0.000098	\$0.000250	\$0.000206	-17.60%
Over 8-25 Miles	\$862.70	\$112.21	\$0.000152	\$0.000108	\$0.000126	\$0.000278	\$0.000234	-15.83%
Over 25-50 Miles	\$862.70	\$117.60	\$0.000152	\$0.000108	\$0.000132	\$0.000284	\$0.000240	-15.49%
Over 50 Miles	\$970.54	\$137.20	\$0.000165	\$0.000121	\$0.000155	\$0.000320	\$0.000276	-13.75%

Per Mile Per Facility

Over 0-8 Miles	\$92.74	\$13.43	\$0.000012	\$0.000012	\$0.000015	\$0.000027	\$0.000027	0.00%
Over 8-25 Miles	\$92.74	\$14.31	\$0.000012	\$0.000012	\$0.000016	\$0.000028	\$0.000028	0.00%
Over 25-50 Miles	\$94.90	\$14.31	\$0.000012	\$0.000012	\$0.000016	\$0.000028	\$0.000028	0.00%
Over 50 Miles	\$107.84	\$15.13	\$0.000013	\$0.000013	\$0.000017	\$0.000030	\$0.000030	0.00%

DS3/DS1 Multiplexer \$350.00

	<u>MOU per DS3</u>	<u>MOU per DS1</u>
Actual MOU Per VG Trunk	9,000	9,000
Equivalent VG Trunks Per Facility	672	24
Total MOU Per Month Per Facility	6,048,000	216,000

DS3 & DS1 Weighting % Based on Fiber & Copper Links

	<u>Fiber</u>	<u>Copper</u>
ARMIS Report #4307	Line 0363	Line 0361
Digital Carrier Links	305,459	98,211
Proportion of Total	75.67%	24.33%

Note (1): LTR Filing, Workpaper 4, LTR D&J, 1993.

Note (2): $[(DS3 \text{ Wtgd. Monthly Rate} + DS3/DS1 \text{ Mux Rate}) \times \text{Fiber \%}] / \text{MOU per DS3}$ Note (3): $[(DS3 \text{ Wtgd. Monthly Rate}) \times \text{Fiber \%}] / \text{MOU per DS3}$ Note (4): $(DS1 \text{ Monthly Rate} \times \text{Copper \%}) / \text{MOU per DS1}$